

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,527	05/10/2001	Yuesheng Li	10882-003	6771
20583	7590 09/25/2003		フ	
	ND EDMONDS		EXAMINER	
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100362711			PASTERCZY	K, JAMES W
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/853,527

J. Pasterczyk

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1755

Li et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	•
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply as to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	patent contragations. See 67 STIT 1.75 (6).	
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is to Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	•
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s) 11	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 1-11	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	•
	√ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	•
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisional	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •
Attachm	ent(s)	
1) X No	ptice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) [] Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) [Other:

Art Unit: 1755

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a compound used as a catalyst and the method of making it,
 classified in class 502, subclass 159.
- II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of making polyethylene, classified in class 526, subclass 170.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a Ziegler-Natta, chromium oxide, or metallocene catalyst.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Charles Miller, Esq., on 8/14/01, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 11 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1755

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the incorrect spelling "olefine" is used throughout the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See *In re Hill*, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). The term "Y" in claims 1 and 10 is used by the claims to mean "a methylene group," while the accepted meaning is "yttrium."

Further in all the claims, all claims must end with a period.

Further in claim 1, it is not clear how the complex can be polynuclear if both m and n may be zero; this seems to be internally inconsistent. In text 1. 8, change "forming" to --form--. In 1. 10 insert --a-- before "heterocyclic". In 1. 11 delete "residual", and it is not clear to what "or a

Application/Control Number: 09/853527

Art Unit: 1755

mixture thereof' refers. It is also not clear what part of these "residual groups" are double bonded to the imine nitrogen atoms.

In claim 2 the only real additional limitation to claim 1 is that n is zero, but this again raises the question of how this complex can be polynuclear if one of the monomers is not present at all.

In claim 3, 1. 2, "Y is CR₃R₄," is prolix since it does not further limit this claim, nor does the recitation from "Q is a . . . " further limit the claim; both should be deleted. In 1. 3, change both instances of "is" to --are-- and change "forming" to --form--. The problem claim has with regard to how the cyclic divalent radicals bond to the diimine nitrogen atoms also occurs here.

In claim 4, 1. 2, "Y is CR_3R_4 ," is again prolix, and in 1. 3 change the first two instances of "is" to --are-- and "forming" to --form--.

In claim 5 the only further limitations on claim 1 are those on the values of m and n; all the rest is prolix and should be cancelled.

Claims 6-9 have the same problem with "is" as claim 3. Claim 6 has the same problem with "forming" as does claim 3. Claims 6-9 have the same problems with "Y is CR_3R_4 " as claim 3. Further in claim 6 delete the commas on each side of "or" in 1. 3. Further in claim 8 "Q is a . . ." is prolix and should be deleted.

In claim 10, it is suggested that the two diketones on 1. 4 be given Roman numerals and be referred to as such in 1. 3. After each set of formulae "... have the same definition in claim 1" is prolix and should be cancelled. In the first line of (b), insert --a-- after "carrying out".

Application/Control Number: 09/853527

Page 5

Art Unit: 1755

8. The claims are allowable over the prior art of record. None of the prior art fairly

describes or teaches a polynuclear diimine nickel(II) complex of the claimed formula,

"polynuclear" being considered to be a material limitation despite the concurrent small values that

m and n may have as currently claimed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is (703) 308-3497. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mark Bell, can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Mark L. Bell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

9/12/03